Neurobiology and Physiology

Alex Applebee & L. N. Combe

2026

The Mammalian Body in Captivity: Neurobiology, Physiology, and the Architecture of Decline

A Unified Thesis on Evolutionary Mismatch, Movement, Social Connection, and Unconscious Motivation

Authors: Alex Applebee and L. N. Combe

OMXUS Research, Perth, Western Australia, 2026

Author's Note

This document exists because of three goals that sound eccentric until you read the evidence behind them.

Goal 10: Food contains only things proven safe. **Goal 11:** Monkey bars at every bus stop. Climbing walls on all stairwells. **Goal 14:** Cancer is 90% preventable. Here's how.

These goals were not derived from policy brainstorming. They were derived from biology. From the simple observation that the human body is not a machine that breaks down. It is a mammalian system that deteriorates when its environmental requirements are not met.

Every pathology has an evolutionary context. Type 2 diabetes is not a disease of sugar. It is a disease of metabolic mismatch – a body adapted for intermittent food availability confronting constant caloric surplus. Lower back pain is not a design flaw. It is a consequence of a spine adapted for variable terrain being locked into a seated position for ten hours a day. Depression is not a chemical imbalance in a broken brain. It is an inflammatory response in a body deprived of movement, sunlight, social contact, and purpose – every one of which has measurable physiological pathways that the evidence base has now mapped in detail.

The conventional medical model treats each of these as a separate problem requiring a separate pharmaceutical intervention. The evolutionary model treats them as symptoms of a single problem: the organism is not in the environment it was built for.

This thesis synthesises the evidence across three domains – movement physiology, social neurobiology, and behavioural motivation – to make one argument. The human body has environmental

requirements. Those requirements are knowable. When they are met, the chronic disease burden that defines modern medicine largely disappears. When they are not met, the body degrades in predictable, measurable ways that we have chosen to call "diseases" rather than what they actually are: symptoms of captivity.

The monkey bars are not eccentric. They are a public health intervention with a stronger evidence base than most pharmaceuticals. The $29 ring is not a gadget. It is an answer to a physiological requirement for social proximity that, when unmet, kills at rates comparable to smoking fifteen cigarettes a day. Food safety is not nannying. It is the precautionary principle applied to the single largest environmental input the body processes daily.

The body is not broken. The environment is wrong. The evidence is here. The question is what we do about it.

Abstract

The human body evolved under specific environmental pressures over approximately 2.6 million years of the Pleistocene. These pressures – sustained aerobic movement, constant social proximity, variable terrain, unprocessed food, circadian light exposure – shaped every physiological system from musculoskeletal architecture to gene expression. The modern built environment systematically removes each of these inputs. This thesis synthesises evidence from evolutionary biology, exercise physiology, social neuroscience, genomics, and behavioural economics to demonstrate that the resulting mismatch is not a risk factor for chronic disease but its primary cause.

We present evidence across four domains. First, the evolutionary case for humans as endurance-adapted mammals, including Lieberman and Bramble's anatomical analysis and Pontzer's constrained total energy expenditure model, which together demonstrate that exercise benefits the body not through caloric expenditure but through metabolic reallocation away from chronic inflammation. Second, the physiology of social isolation, including Cacioppo's three decades of neuroendocrine research and Cole's discovery of the Conserved Transcriptional Response to Adversity (CTRA), which shows that loneliness alters gene expression toward inflammatory upregulation and antiviral downregulation within weeks. Third, the neurobiological mechanisms connecting movement, mood, and cognitive function through BDNF expression, hippocampal neurogenesis, vagus nerve tone, and HPA axis regulation. Fourth, the behavioural architecture of unconscious motivation, including evidence that environmental design – not individual willpower – determines aggregate health behaviour.

The thesis argues that Goals 10, 11, 13, and 14 of the OMXUS framework are not policy proposals but physiological requirements, and that the evidence base supporting environmental redesign over pharmaceutical intervention is now overwhelming.

Keywords: evolutionary mismatch, endurance running hypothesis, constrained energy expenditure, CTRA, loneliness physiology, neuroplasticity, vagus nerve, HPA axis, embodied cognition, environmental design, behavioural architecture

---

Table of Contents

Part I: The Running Ape – Movement, Endurance, and the Sedentary Mismatch

Chapter 1: Built to Run

There is something strange about humans if you line us up against the rest of the animal kingdom. We are not fast. A house cat can outrun us. We cannot fly, we cannot swim particularly well, we have no claws, no venom, no armour. What we can do – better than any other animal on Earth – is keep going.

Daniel Lieberman and Dennis Bramble laid out the case in a landmark 2004 paper in *Nature*. The argument: humans did not evolve primarily as walkers. We evolved as runners. Specifically, as endurance runners – animals built to run long distances at moderate speeds in the heat, chasing prey until the prey collapsed from hyperthermia. This is the persistence hunting hypothesis.

The evidence is anatomical and it is persuasive. The human Achilles tendon stores and returns elastic energy during running but serves almost no function during walking. The nuchal ligament – a band of connective tissue running from the base of the skull to the spine – stabilises the head during running and exists in dogs and horses but not in chimpanzees. Our gluteus maximus, the largest muscle in the body, barely fires during walking but contracts powerfully during running. Our relatively long legs, short toes, and wide shoulders (decoupling the arm swing from the trunk) are all running adaptations. None of them make sense as walking adaptations.

But the most remarkable feature is our skin. Humans have between 2 and 4 million eccrine sweat glands distributed across the body surface. This is the highest density of any mammal. Most mammals cool themselves by panting – a method that is incompatible with sustained galloping because the respiratory cycle locks to the stride cycle. A horse running at full speed cannot pant independently of its gait. A human can sweat freely at any speed. This gives us an extraordinary thermoregulatory advantage. On a hot day, a human can maintain a moderate running speed for hours while a quadruped must stop or die of heat stroke.

Lieberman expanded this into a broader framework in *The Story of the Human Body* (2013), introducing the concept of evolutionary mismatch. The bodies we inhabit were shaped by the demands of the Pleistocene – walking 12 to 20 kilometres a day, carrying loads, climbing, digging, running in bursts and sometimes for hours. The modern environment, where a person might walk 2 kilometres in an entire day and spend 10 hours seated, is not what these bodies were built for. The consequences of that mismatch, Lieberman argues, are visible in the diseases we now treat as

normal: lower back pain, osteoporosis, type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease.

This is a hypothesis, not a settled fact. Pickering and Bunn (2007) have questioned how common persistence hunting actually was, arguing that scavenging and ambush predation may have been more important. The anatomical evidence for running adaptations is strong, but whether persistence hunting was a primary subsistence strategy or an occasional tactic remains debated. What is not debated is that the human body is built for sustained aerobic movement in ways that most mammals are not.

The implications extend beyond locomotion. The skeletal system remodels under mechanical load – Wolff's law, established in the 19th century and confirmed by every subsequent study of bone density. Astronauts lose 1-2% of bone mineral density per month in microgravity. Bed rest studies show similar losses. The body does not maintain skeletal integrity as a default state. It maintains it in response to mechanical demand. Remove the demand and the structure degrades. This is not a disease. It is the body doing exactly what it evolved to do: reallocating resources away from structures that are not being used.

The same principle applies to muscle tissue, cardiovascular capacity, proprioceptive networks, and joint mobility. Use-dependent maintenance is not a feature of some body systems. It is the operating principle of all of them. The body is not a structure that decays. It is a structure that adapts – and when the adaptive signal is "nothing is happening," the adaptation is atrophy.

Chapter 2: The Paradox of Energy

If humans evolved to move, and modern humans barely move, you might expect a straightforward story: active people burn more calories, sedentary people burn fewer, and the difference explains obesity. Herman Pontzer's work over the past decade has complicated that story considerably.

Pontzer and colleagues measured total daily energy expenditure in the Hadza, a hunter-gatherer population in northern Tanzania. The Hadza walk long distances daily, dig tubers, climb trees for honey, and carry water and firewood. By any measure, they are far more physically active than the average Western adult. And yet, when Pontzer's team measured their total energy expenditure using doubly labelled water – the gold standard method – they found something unexpected. Hadza men and women burned roughly the same total number of calories per day as sedentary adults in the United States and Europe.

This was published in *PLoS ONE* in 2012 and expanded in *Current Biology* in 2016. Pontzer called the framework the "constrained total energy expenditure" model. The idea is that the body has a roughly fixed daily energy budget. When physical activity increases beyond a moderate level, the body compensates by reducing energy expenditure elsewhere – suppressing inflammation, reducing reproductive hormone cycling, dialling down stress responses. The body does not simply add activity calories on top of a fixed basal metabolic rate. It reallocates.

The implications are provocative. If the model is correct, exercise does not primarily cause weight loss through burning extra calories. Its benefits come instead through metabolic reallocation: the body shifts energy away from chronic inflammation and stress physiology into physical function. Pontzer laid this out for a general audience in *Burn* (2021).

This reframes the entire exercise-health relationship. The question is not "how many calories does a run burn?" The question is "what does the body stop doing when it is running?" And the answer, if Pontzer is right, is that it stops inflaming. It stops overproducing cortisol. It stops

running the chronic low-grade immune activation that drives atherosclerosis, insulin resistance, and neurodegeneration. Exercise is not an additive process. It is a reallocation.

This model is contested and it is important to say so clearly. Some researchers argue that the Hadza data has methodological limitations, that doubly labelled water has measurement variability that could mask real differences, and that intervention studies do show exercise-induced weight loss in some populations. The constrained model may describe a real phenomenon at population scale without being the whole story. It should be treated as an important and provocative hypothesis, not as established fact.

What is less contested is the core observation: the relationship between physical activity and total energy expenditure is not linear. Moving more does not proportionally increase the calories you burn in a day. Something more complex is happening, and Pontzer's work has forced the field to take that seriously.

The constrained energy model also has a disturbing corollary. If the sedentary body has the same total energy budget as the active body, but is not spending that energy on physical activity, where is it going? Pontzer's hypothesis: into the systems that kill us. Into the inflammatory cascades that line arterial walls with plaque. Into the stress hormones that erode hippocampal neurons. Into the immune dysregulation that fails to catch precancerous cells. The body is not lazy. It is busy. It is busy destroying itself because it has energy allocated to movement and no movement to spend it on.

Chapter 3: The Blue Zones – Movement Without Exercise

Dan Buettner's Blue Zones research approaches the question from the other end – not from physiology but from demography. Where do people live the longest? And what do those places have in common?

Buettner identified five regions with unusually high concentrations of centenarians: Okinawa (Japan), Sardinia (Italy), Nicoya (Costa Rica), Ikaria (Greece), and Loma Linda (California – specifically the Seventh-day Adventist community). Across these populations, he found a set of shared lifestyle patterns. Diet was important (largely plant-based, moderate caloric intake). Social connection was important. Sense of purpose was important.

But the movement pattern was perhaps the most striking finding. None of these populations "exercised" in the way a modern Westerner understands the word. Nobody ran on treadmills or lifted weights in gyms. Instead, they walked. They gardened. They kneaded bread. They climbed stairs and hills as part of daily life. Their movement was constant, low-level, and integrated into every waking hour.

This aligns with Pontzer's metabolic data and Lieberman's evolutionary framework in an interesting way. The human body may not need intense exercise to function well. What it needs is what it evolved with: near-constant low-level physical activity spread across the day. Not 30 minutes of cardio followed by 15 hours of sitting. Movement as a background state, not an event.

The Blue Zone populations also share another feature that connects directly to Part II of this thesis: dense social networks. Okinawan moais – groups of five friends who commit to each other for life. Sardinian intergenerational households. Ikarian villages where people walk to each other's homes daily. The movement is not solitary. It is social. The two variables – physical activity and social

connection – are not independent in these populations. They are structurally intertwined. You move because you are going to see someone. You see someone because you walked there.

Buettner's work is popular science and should be read with that caveat. The epidemiological data behind individual Blue Zones varies in quality, and the concept has attracted criticism for oversimplifying complex demographic patterns. But the core observation – that longevity correlates with habitual daily movement rather than formal exercise – is supported by a broader evidence base than Buettner's work alone.

Chapter 4: Sitting and Dying – The Dose-Response

If constant movement is the natural state and prolonged sitting is the mismatch, how dangerous is sitting? The phrase "sitting is the new smoking" became a public health slogan in the 2010s. The evidence behind it is real, though the slogan overstates it.

Ulf Ekelund and colleagues published a major meta-analysis in *The Lancet* in 2016, pooling data from over one million adults across 16 studies. They found a clear dose-response relationship between sedentary time and all-cause mortality. People who sat for more than 8 hours a day had significantly higher mortality risk than those who sat for less than 4 hours. But – and this is the important nuance – 60 to 75 minutes of moderate-intensity physical activity per day eliminated the excess mortality risk associated with high sitting time.

This means sitting is not an independent death sentence. It is a risk that can be offset by sufficient movement. The problem is that most people who sit for 8 or more hours a day do not also move for 60 to 75 minutes. The combination of high sitting and low activity is what kills, and that combination describes a very large fraction of the modern population.

The physiological mechanisms are increasingly well understood. When muscles are inactive for extended periods, lipoprotein lipase activity drops sharply. Lipoprotein lipase is the enzyme that breaks down circulating triglycerides so they can be taken up by tissues. When it falls, triglycerides accumulate in the bloodstream. Glucose transporter activity in muscle tissue also declines, impairing insulin-mediated glucose uptake. Blood pools in the lower extremities, reducing vascular shear stress and impairing endothelial function. These changes begin within hours of sustained sitting, not after weeks or months.

Hamilton and colleagues (2007, 2008) documented this sedentary physiology in detail, demonstrating that the metabolic consequences of inactivity are not simply the absence of the benefits of activity. They are active pathological processes that begin rapidly upon cessation of movement. The body does not have a neutral state. It has a moving state and a degrading state. There is no middle ground.

The sedentary physiology literature paints a picture of a body that begins to malfunction when it stops moving – not over years, but within a single day. The human body was not designed to be still.

Chapter 5: Movement and the Mind

The evidence on exercise and mental health has strengthened to the point where it is difficult to ignore clinically. A 2023 umbrella review published in the *British Journal of Sports Medicine* by Singh and colleagues examined the evidence across multiple systematic reviews and meta-analyses.

The findings: physical activity interventions significantly reduce symptoms of depression, anxiety, and psychological distress. For mild to moderate depression, the effect sizes were comparable to those of antidepressants and psychotherapy.

What is clear from the broader literature is that the relationship between movement and mood is not incidental. It operates through multiple mechanisms: increased BDNF (brain-derived neurotrophic factor) expression, improved hippocampal neurogenesis, reduced systemic inflammation, regulation of the HPA (hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal) axis, and acute increases in endocannabinoid and monoamine neurotransmitter levels. Exercise is not a lifestyle recommendation that sits politely alongside medication. It is a physiological intervention that acts on many of the same systems.

BDNF deserves particular attention. It is the primary neurotrophin responsible for synaptic plasticity – the brain's ability to form new connections and strengthen existing ones. BDNF expression increases acutely with exercise and chronically with regular physical activity. Reduced BDNF levels are consistently found in major depressive disorder and are normalised by both antidepressant medication and exercise. The convergence is not coincidental. Exercise and SSRIs act on overlapping neurobiological pathways. The difference is that exercise acts on more of them simultaneously, without the side effect profile, and with a long list of additional physiological benefits that no pharmaceutical can match.

The hippocampus – the brain structure most associated with memory formation and spatial navigation – is one of the few brain regions that continues to produce new neurons throughout adult life. This adult neurogenesis is exercise-dependent. In rodent studies, voluntary wheel running doubles hippocampal neurogenesis rates. In human studies, aerobic exercise programmes increase hippocampal volume in older adults – reversing the age-related shrinkage that is otherwise considered inevitable (Erickson et al., 2011). The brain does not have to shrink with age. It shrinks with inactivity.

The endocannabinoid system provides another mechanism. The "runner's high" – long attributed to endorphins – is now understood to be primarily mediated by endocannabinoids, specifically anandamide, which crosses the blood-brain barrier more readily than endorphins. Moderate-intensity exercise reliably increases circulating anandamide levels, producing anxiolytic and analgesic effects through CB1 receptor activation. The body manufactures its own anti-anxiety medication. It does so in response to movement. When you remove the movement, you remove the medication, and then you prescribe a pharmaceutical to replace what the body was already making.

Chapter 6: The Mismatch in Numbers

How far off are we? The human musculoskeletal system, based on hunter-gatherer data, appears to be adapted for something in the range of 12 to 20 kilometres of daily movement – a figure derived from accelerometer and GPS data on contemporary foraging populations including the Hadza, Tsimane, and !Kung San. This translates roughly to 15,000 to 25,000 steps per day.

The average American adult takes approximately 4,800 steps per day. The average British adult is slightly higher at around 5,400. The average Australian sits somewhere between the two. These figures represent a three- to five-fold deficit from the movement baseline our bodies expect.

The WHO recommends 150 minutes of moderate-intensity physical activity per week as a minimum. That is roughly 21 minutes a day. Better than nothing, but a fraction of what the evolutionary evidence suggests our bodies were built for. It is a public health minimum, not a biological optimum.

The deficit is not evenly distributed. Children in Western countries are particularly affected. A generation ago, children walked to school, played in streets, climbed trees, and spent hours in unstructured physical play. The decline in childhood physical activity over the past 40 years is one of the steepest behavioural changes in human history. It maps directly onto the rise of childhood obesity, type 2 diabetes in adolescents (previously unheard of), and the mental health crisis in young people that every health system in the developed world is now documenting.

And this is where Goal 11 – climbing walls on every stairway, monkey bars at every bus stop – stops being eccentric and starts being obvious. The problem is not that people lack willpower or gym memberships. The problem is that the built environment has been systematically engineered to remove movement from daily life. Escalators replace stairs. Cars replace walking. Flat surfaces replace terrain. The body adapted for climbing, carrying, and traversing variable ground now navigates a world of smooth floors and elevator buttons.

You do not fix an environmental mismatch with individual discipline. You fix it by changing the environment. Monkey bars at bus stops. Climbing walls on stairways. Pull-up bars in parks. Movement infrastructure woven into the fabric of public space so that using your body is not a choice you make but a thing that happens because the world is built for moving bodies.

The bone density argument alone should end the debate. Watson and colleagues' LIFTMOR trial demonstrated that high-intensity resistance training reversed osteoporosis in postmenopausal women – a condition affecting one in three women over 50 in Western countries, costing health systems billions annually in fracture treatment. The intervention cost: a barbell and a programme. The pharmaceutical alternative: bisphosphonates with a side effect profile that includes oesophageal ulceration and, ironically, atypical femoral fractures. We have a choice between building environments that load bones naturally and prescribing drugs that weakly imitate what gravity and climbing already do. The choice should be obvious. That it is not tells you something about who benefits from the current arrangement.

The evidence says we evolved to move. The evidence says we are not moving. The evidence says the consequences are visible in every chronic disease registry in the developed world. The question is not whether movement matters. The question is why we built a world that prevents it.

Part II: The Lonely Mammal – Social Neurobiology and the Physiology of Disconnection

Chapter 7: The Numbers That Should Have Changed Everything

In 2010, Julianne Holt-Lunstad and her colleagues at Brigham Young University published a meta-analysis in *PLoS Medicine* that should have rewritten public health policy overnight. They synthesised data from 148 studies involving 308,849 participants tracked over an average of 7.5 years. The finding: people with stronger social relationships had a 50% increased likelihood of survival compared to those with weaker social connections. Flipped around, social isolation increased mortality risk by 26%. Loneliness – the subjective feeling of being disconnected – increased it by 26%. Living alone pushed it to 32%.

To put that in context, the effect size was comparable to quitting smoking. Holt-Lunstad's team made the comparison that inadequate social connection carried a mortality risk roughly equivalent to smoking 15 cigarettes a day. That comparison caught fire in the press and has been repeated in nearly every subsequent article on loneliness. It is worth noting that this is a rough equivalence drawn from comparing effect sizes across different meta-analyses, not a precise biochemical calculation. The point was never mathematical exactness – it was to convey scale. Loneliness is not a soft problem. It kills at rates we associate with the hardest public health threats we know.

Five years later, Holt-Lunstad published a second meta-analysis in *Perspectives on Psychological Science* (2015), this time looking at 70 studies with over 3.4 million participants. This one separated social isolation, loneliness, and living alone as distinct risk factors. All three independently predicted early death. Social isolation increased all-cause mortality by 29%. Loneliness by 26%. Living alone by 32%. The consistency across both meta-analyses, across hundreds of studies, across millions of people, across different countries and decades of follow-up, made the conclusion essentially inarguable. Social disconnection is a leading risk factor for death.

And yet almost nothing changed in policy. No government restructured housing around it. No health system screened for it the way they screen for blood pressure or cholesterol. The data existed. The response did not.

This is the pattern that defines modern public health: the evidence arrives, it is acknowledged, and then nothing structural changes because structural change threatens economic arrangements that benefit from the status quo. Suburban sprawl generates property developer profits. Long commutes sell cars and petrol. Social isolation sells antidepressants, streaming subscriptions, and

food delivery services. The loneliness economy is vast and profitable. The data showing it kills people has been available for fifteen years. The data has not been the problem.

Chapter 8: What Loneliness Does to a Body

John Cacioppo spent three decades at the University of Chicago studying what loneliness actually does inside the human body. Not the feeling of it – the physiology. His work, spanning from the early 2000s until his death in 2018, established that loneliness is not merely unpleasant. It is a biological state with measurable signatures across multiple systems.

The cortisol findings came first. Lonely individuals show dysregulated cortisol patterns – specifically, a flattened diurnal slope. In a healthy body, cortisol peaks in the morning and drops through the day. In chronically lonely people, that rhythm breaks. Cortisol stays elevated when it should be falling. This is not the acute stress response of a bad day. It is a chronic rewiring of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, the body's central stress system. The HPA axis in a lonely person behaves as though they are under sustained threat. Because, in evolutionary terms, they are. A socially isolated mammal is a vulnerable mammal. The body responds accordingly.

Then there are the inflammatory markers. Cacioppo and others documented elevated levels of C-reactive protein (CRP) and interleukin-6 (IL-6) in lonely individuals. These are not obscure lab values. CRP and IL-6 are the same markers that predict cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes, and certain cancers. Chronic low-grade inflammation – sometimes called "inflammaging" – is now understood to underlie many of the diseases that kill people in modern societies. Loneliness drives it.

Sleep fragmentation was another consistent finding. Lonely people do not necessarily sleep less, but they sleep worse. Cacioppo's lab demonstrated that loneliness predicted more micro-awakenings during the night – brief arousals that fragment sleep architecture without the person being aware of them. The person wakes up tired. Their body did not get the deep restorative cycles it needed. Over months and years, fragmented sleep compounds every other physiological insult.

Immune function suffers too. Lonely individuals show reduced natural killer cell activity and impaired antiviral responses. Their bodies are less capable of fighting off infections and, critically, less capable of conducting the immunosurveillance that catches cancerous cells early. This is not metaphor. The immune system of a lonely person functions measurably worse than the immune system of a connected one.

Cacioppo was careful to distinguish loneliness from social isolation. They are related but not identical. Social isolation is objective – a person has few social contacts. Loneliness is subjective – a person feels disconnected regardless of how many contacts they have. You can be surrounded by people and be profoundly lonely. You can live alone and not be lonely at all. Both matter for health, but through partially different mechanisms. Cacioppo argued that it was the perception of social threat – the felt sense of being on the outside – that drove the physiological cascade. The body responds to perceived isolation, not just actual isolation.

Chapter 9: The Genome Responds

Steve Cole's work at UCLA took the story one level deeper, to gene expression itself. In 2007, Cole published a landmark paper in *Genome Biology* examining the gene expression profiles of

chronically lonely people compared to socially connected ones. What he found was striking. Lonely individuals showed a consistent pattern of altered gene expression that he termed the Conserved Transcriptional Response to Adversity, or CTRA.

The CTRA involves two simultaneous shifts. First, genes involved in inflammation are upregulated – turned up, expressed more actively. These are the genes that produce the inflammatory cytokines Cacioppo had been measuring in blood. Second, genes involved in antiviral defense and antibody production are downregulated – turned down, expressed less. The body shifts its transcriptional profile away from long-term immune defense and toward immediate inflammatory response.

In evolutionary terms, this makes a grim kind of sense. A socially isolated mammal on the savannah faces different threats than a connected one. The connected animal is more likely to encounter viruses (social contact spreads pathogens) and less likely to suffer wound infections (the group provides protection from predators). The isolated animal faces the opposite threat profile: higher risk of wounds from predator attacks, lower risk of socially transmitted viruses. The CTRA shifts the immune system to match: more inflammation (for wound healing), less antiviral defense (unnecessary without social contact).

The problem is that this response evolved for temporary situations. An animal separated from the group for a few days or weeks. In modern humans living in chronic social disconnection for months, years, decades, the CTRA becomes pathological. Sustained upregulation of inflammatory genes drives cardiovascular disease, metabolic syndrome, and neurodegeneration. Sustained downregulation of antiviral genes leaves the body vulnerable to infections and reduces cancer surveillance.

Cole's subsequent work, including a major review in *PLoS Genetics* in 2014, demonstrated that the CTRA is not fixed. When social conditions change, gene expression changes too. People who moved from isolation to genuine social connection showed measurable shifts in their transcriptional profiles within weeks. The genome is not destiny. But it is listening. And what it hears in a lonely person is danger.

This finding alone should reshape how we think about disease. When a person's gene expression shifts toward inflammation and away from immune defense because they are socially isolated, and that shift drives the diseases we spend billions treating with pharmaceuticals, the pharmaceutical is not the intervention. The intervention is the social connection. The drug is the person next door. The prescription is proximity.

Chapter 10: The Structural Unravelling

Robert Putnam documented the structural preconditions for the loneliness epidemic two decades before Murthy's advisory. *Bowling Alone*, published in 2000, tracked the decline of social capital in the United States from the 1960s onward. Civic organisations, churches, bowling leagues, dinner parties, union membership, PTA participation, even casual socialising – all declined precipitously. Americans were spending more time alone, commuting farther, watching more television, and participating less in every form of communal life that had previously structured social connection.

Putnam's data was exhaustive. He tracked dozens of indicators of social participation over four decades and showed consistent decline across nearly all of them. The causes he identified included suburban sprawl (longer commutes erode time for social activity), electronic entertainment (television first, internet later), generational change (the civic-minded World War II generation being

replaced by less communally oriented cohorts), and the increasing pressure of two-income households reducing time available for community participation.

The key insight from Putnam that matters for the physiology story is structural. Loneliness is not primarily an individual failing. It is a consequence of how we build cities, organise work, design transportation, and structure daily life. You cannot solve a structural problem with individual advice. Telling a lonely person to "join a club" without addressing the fact that their commute leaves them no time, their neighbourhood has no gathering spaces, and their work schedule is unpredictable is useless. The structure has to change.

In May 2023, US Surgeon General Vivek Murthy released an 82-page advisory titled "Our Epidemic of Loneliness and Isolation." It was the first time a Surgeon General had declared loneliness a public health epidemic. Murthy cited the same research – Holt-Lunstad, Cacioppo, Cole – and added contemporary data showing the problem was accelerating. Americans who reported having close friends had declined from an average of three in 1990 to two in 2021. Time spent with friends had dropped by nearly 70% among young adults over two decades. One in two Americans reported measurable loneliness.

The UK had already taken a symbolic step in 2018, appointing a Minister for Loneliness following the work of the Jo Cox Commission. The appointment generated significant media attention. Its policy impact has been modest.

The pattern repeats. The evidence is overwhelming. The structural response is absent. And the industries that profit from isolation – pharmaceutical companies treating its downstream effects, technology companies selling simulations of connection, real estate developers building suburbs designed for cars instead of people – continue to operate undisturbed.

Chapter 11: The Digital Illusion

Sherry Turkle's *Alone Together* (2011) extended Putnam's analysis into the digital age. Turkle, a psychologist at MIT, spent fifteen years studying how people relate to technology and to each other through technology. Her central argument was that digital communication creates an illusion of connection while actually substituting for the real thing. Texting, social media, online communities – these provide the sensation of social contact without the embodied, unpredictable, sometimes uncomfortable reality of being physically present with another person.

Turkle documented how people increasingly preferred mediated communication precisely because it could be controlled. You can edit a text message. You cannot edit your face in a live conversation. That control feels safer but strips out the very elements of human interaction that meet social needs at the physiological level. Eye contact, physical proximity, touch, vocal tone, shared physical space – these are not decorative features of human connection. They are the signals the nervous system uses to determine whether it is safe. Digital communication does not provide them, or provides them only in degraded form.

This matters for the physiology because Cacioppo's work showed that the body responds to perceived social connection, not just contact frequency. A person with 2,000 online followers who has no one to call in a crisis is, physiologically, lonely. Their cortisol pattern, their inflammatory markers, their gene expression profile will reflect that loneliness regardless of how active their social media presence is.

The nervous system evolved to assess social safety through sensory channels that require physical

presence: the micro-expressions of a human face read at close range, the prosody of a voice uncompressed by digital transmission, the warmth of physical touch activating C-tactile afferents in the skin. These are not optional inputs. They are the data the autonomic nervous system uses to decide whether to run the parasympathetic "safe and social" programme or the sympathetic "threat and withdrawal" programme. A screen cannot provide them. A notification cannot provide them. A like cannot provide them.

The digital connection is not nothing. It can coordinate, inform, maintain awareness of distant relationships, and provide genuine community for people who are geographically isolated or marginalised. But it is not a substitute for physical presence, and treating it as one – as the architecture of modern social life increasingly does – guarantees a population that is technically connected and physiologically alone.

Chapter 12: What We Learn from Other Animals

The cross-species evidence makes the case that social connection is not a luxury but a biological requirement hardwired into mammalian physiology. Harry Harlow's mid-20th century experiments with rhesus monkeys – ethically indefensible by modern standards but scientifically revealing – demonstrated that infant monkeys deprived of social contact developed severe behavioural and physiological abnormalities. They chose a soft cloth surrogate mother over a wire one that provided food, demonstrating that contact comfort was a primary need, not secondary to nutrition. Monkeys raised in total isolation showed permanent deficits in social behaviour, stress regulation, and immune function.

Cacioppo extended this work with prairie voles, a socially monogamous rodent species. Isolated prairie voles showed the same physiological signatures as lonely humans: elevated cortisol, increased inflammation, disrupted sleep, impaired immune function. The parallels were not superficial. The same neuroendocrine systems were affected. The same inflammatory pathways were activated. Social isolation produces a conserved physiological response across mammalian species because social connection is a conserved mammalian need.

This matters because it removes the possibility that loneliness is a cultural construction or a modern invention. The biology predates modern society by millions of years. We are animals that require social bonds to regulate our physiology. When those bonds are absent, the body degrades in predictable, measurable ways.

The comparative evidence extends further. Sapolsky's decades of research on wild baboons in Kenya demonstrated that social rank and social affiliation independently predicted cortisol levels, immune function, and cardiovascular health. Low-ranking males with strong social bonds had better health outcomes than high-ranking males without them. The relationship was not about status per se but about the physiological security that came from having allies. A baboon with friends had lower baseline cortisol, faster cortisol recovery after stress, and better wound healing than a baboon without friends, regardless of rank.

The mammalian nervous system is, at its root, a social organ. It evolved to coordinate group behaviour, to read others, to modulate internal states based on the presence or absence of trusted others. Stripped of that social input, it defaults to threat mode – and threat mode, sustained chronically, destroys the body from the inside.

Chapter 13: What Actually Works

The intervention literature is sobering. A 2011 meta-analysis by Christopher Masi and colleagues (including Cacioppo) examined what reduces loneliness. They categorised interventions into four types: improving social skills, enhancing social support, increasing opportunities for social contact, and addressing maladaptive social cognition. The last category – changing how lonely people perceive and interpret social situations – was the only one that showed consistent, significant effects.

This finding is counterintuitive but consistent with Cacioppo's model. Loneliness involves a hypervigilance to social threat. Lonely people perceive rejection and hostility in neutral social cues. They withdraw preemptively. Giving them more opportunities for contact does not help if their threat-detection system interprets those contacts as dangerous. The cognitive patterns have to shift before the social behaviour can change.

But there is a structural dimension that the cognitive intervention literature underestimates. You can change someone's social cognition, but if their built environment provides no spaces for casual encounter, if their work schedule eliminates unstructured time, if their housing isolates them from neighbors, the cognitive shift has nothing to work with. The most effective approaches combine cognitive work with structural change: cohousing communities, community centres with programming designed around regular repeated contact (not one-off events), workplace redesign that builds in social time, neighbourhood design that creates shared spaces people actually use.

This is where Goal 13 – the $29 ring, press it, your people come in 60 seconds – ceases to be a technology proposal and becomes a physiological intervention. The ring does not solve loneliness. It solves proximity. It guarantees that when you need a person, a person comes. Not a call centre. Not a chatbot. Not an ambulance in 14 minutes. A human being, someone you know, in 60 seconds.

The Hatzolah model in Israel and the volunteer surf lifesaving model in Australia already demonstrate this at scale. Trained community members, pre-positioned by geography, responding faster than any professional service because they are already there. They live there. The response time is a function of proximity, and proximity is a function of community structure.

The evidence points in one direction. Loneliness is not solved by telling individuals to try harder. It is solved by building environments where connection is the default, not the exception. Where showing up is easy because the distances are short and the spaces exist and the time is available. Where people encounter the same faces regularly enough to build the familiarity that is the foundation of trust.

The body does not need grand interventions. It needs presence. Regular, physical, reliable presence. The inflammatory markers respond to that. The gene expression responds to that. The cortisol rhythm normalises to that. The biology is clear. The question is whether we will build the world it requires.

Part III: The Wired Mammal – Neuroplasticity, Stress Physiology, and Embodied Cognition

Chapter 14: The Plastic Brain

The adult brain was, until the 1990s, assumed to be essentially fixed. You were born with your neurons, they died gradually, and the trajectory was one-directional decline. This assumption was wrong.

Neuroplasticity – the brain's capacity to reorganize its structure and function in response to experience – is now one of the most thoroughly documented phenomena in neuroscience. It operates at every level: synaptic connections strengthen with use and weaken with disuse (Hebbian plasticity), new neurons are generated in the hippocampus and olfactory bulb throughout adult life (adult neurogenesis), cortical maps expand to represent frequently used body regions (use-dependent cortical reorganisation), and entire functional networks can rewire after injury (post-lesion plasticity).

The relevance to the mismatch thesis is direct. The brain is not a fixed organ that "breaks down" with age or stress. It is a dynamically adaptive organ that reshapes itself based on environmental input. The inputs the modern environment provides – chronic stress, sedentary behaviour, social isolation, artificial light, processed food – produce a brain shaped by those inputs. The depressed brain, the anxious brain, the brain with poor memory and attention – these are not defective brains. They are brains adapted to defective environments.

Erickson and colleagues (2011) published the study that should have changed clinical neurology. They randomised 120 older adults into an aerobic exercise group (walking 40 minutes, three times per week) or a stretching control group. After one year, the exercise group showed a 2% increase in hippocampal volume. The control group showed a 1.4% decrease, consistent with the expected age-related atrophy of approximately 1-2% per year. Exercise did not merely slow decline. It reversed it.

The hippocampus is not an arbitrary structure. It is the brain region most critical for episodic memory and spatial navigation, and it is the region most damaged by chronic stress (cortisol is neurotoxic to hippocampal neurons at sustained high levels), Alzheimer's disease, and depression. That a walking programme can reverse hippocampal atrophy in a year suggests that the "age-related cognitive decline" we accept as inevitable is, in significant part, an activity-related cognitive decline that we choose not to prevent.

Chapter 15: The Vagus Nerve – The Body's Information Superhighway

The vagus nerve is the longest cranial nerve in the body, running from the brainstem to the abdomen, innervating the heart, lungs, and gut along the way. It is the primary conduit of the parasympathetic nervous system – the "rest and digest" branch that counterbalances the sympathetic "fight or flight" system. Vagal tone – the strength of vagus nerve signalling – is one of the most reliable physiological markers of overall health.

High vagal tone is associated with better emotional regulation, lower inflammation, stronger immune function, healthier cardiovascular metrics, and better gut motility. Low vagal tone is associated with depression, anxiety, chronic inflammation, irritable bowel syndrome, and cardiovascular disease. The vagus nerve is not a peripheral detail of autonomic function. It is a central integrator of the body's response to its environment.

Stephen Porges' Polyvagal Theory, developed from the 1990s onward, proposes that the vagus nerve mediates a hierarchy of physiological states. The most evolved branch – the ventral vagal complex – supports social engagement: calm alertness, vocal communication, facial expression, the ability to listen and respond. The older dorsal vagal complex supports shutdown: dissociation, collapse, freeze. The sympathetic system sits between them: fight or flight.

According to Porges, the body constantly scans for safety signals – a process he calls neuroception. When the environment provides cues of safety (familiar faces, calm voices, physical proximity), the ventral vagal system activates and the body enters the social engagement state. When the environment provides cues of danger (unfamiliar environments, hostile expressions, isolation), the body shifts to sympathetic mobilisation or dorsal vagal shutdown.

The Polyvagal Theory is not universally accepted in its specifics – some neuroanatomists have questioned the precise phylogenetic hierarchy Porges proposes – but the core observation is well-supported: the autonomic nervous system is not a simple toggle between "on" and "off." It is a graded system that responds to social context, and its resting state depends on the perceived safety of the environment.

This connects movement, social connection, and stress physiology into a single framework. Exercise increases vagal tone. Social connection increases vagal tone. Nature exposure increases vagal tone. Each of these independently shifts the autonomic nervous system toward the ventral vagal state – toward the physiological profile associated with health, learning, growth, and immune function. The modern environment systematically removes all three inputs. The body defaults to sympathetic overdrive or dorsal vagal shutdown. We call the results "anxiety," "depression," "IBS," "chronic fatigue." They are descriptions of autonomic states, not diseases.

Chapter 16: The HPA Axis – When the Alarm Never Stops

The hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis is the body's central stress response system. When the brain perceives threat, the hypothalamus releases corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH), which signals the pituitary to release adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH), which signals the adrenal glands to release cortisol. Cortisol mobilises energy, suppresses non-essential functions (digestion, reproduction, immune response), and focuses attention on the threat.

This system is designed for acute activation. A predator appears. Cortisol surges. You run. The

predator leaves. Cortisol drops. The system resets. The entire cycle, from threat detection to recovery, is meant to last minutes to hours, not days to years.

Chronic stress – the sustained low-level activation that characterises modern life – does not allow the system to reset. Cortisol remains elevated. The negative feedback loops that should suppress further CRH release become desensitised. The system loses its ability to return to baseline. This is HPA axis dysregulation, and it has been documented in depression (Gold, 2015), PTSD, chronic pain syndromes, burnout, and – as Cacioppo showed – chronic loneliness.

The downstream consequences of sustained cortisol elevation read like a catalogue of modern chronic disease. Hippocampal atrophy (cortisol is neurotoxic at sustained high levels). Insulin resistance (cortisol promotes gluconeogenesis and opposes insulin signalling). Visceral fat accumulation (cortisol redirects fat storage to the abdominal cavity). Immune suppression (cortisol downregulates inflammatory and antiviral gene expression – though, paradoxically, chronic HPA dysregulation can also produce glucocorticoid resistance, where tissues stop responding to cortisol's anti-inflammatory signal, resulting in simultaneous cortisol elevation and chronic inflammation). Reduced bone density. Impaired wound healing. Disrupted sleep architecture.

Every one of these is treated as a separate medical condition. Every one of them traces back to the same axis. The body is not producing multiple independent diseases. It is producing one coherent response to an environment that will not stop threatening it.

The connection to the movement and social connection evidence is now clear. Exercise is one of the most reliable ways to normalise HPA axis function – acute exercise produces a cortisol spike followed by enhanced recovery, effectively "training" the system to activate and reset properly. Social connection – specifically, the presence of trusted others – reduces cortisol reactivity to stressors (the social buffering effect, documented in humans, primates, and rodents). Movement and connection are not lifestyle choices. They are HPA axis regulators. Remove them and the axis dysregulates. Dysregulate the axis and the body produces the diseases we are trying to treat with pharmaceuticals that cannot fix what is fundamentally an environmental problem.

Chapter 17: Embodied Cognition – The Body Thinks

The Cartesian separation of mind and body – the idea that cognition happens in the brain and the body is merely its vehicle – has been under sustained assault from multiple directions for thirty years. The embodied cognition framework, drawing on work by Varela, Thompson, and Rosch (*The Embodied Mind*, 1991), Lakoff and Johnson (*Philosophy in the Flesh*, 1999), and Damasio (*Descartes' Error*, 1994), argues that cognition is not something the brain does alone. It is something the whole organism does in interaction with its environment.

Damasio's somatic marker hypothesis demonstrated that emotion – registered in the body as interoceptive signals – is not a contaminant of rational thought but a prerequisite for it. Patients with damage to the ventromedial prefrontal cortex, which integrates bodily signals into decision-making, could reason logically but could not make functional decisions. They could analyse options but not choose. The body's input was not optional.

The practical consequence for the mismatch thesis is this: a body that does not move, does not encounter variable terrain, does not experience physical challenge, does not feel the autonomic shifts of exertion and recovery, is a body that cannot think properly. Not because it lacks intelligence but because it lacks the sensory input that grounds intelligent thought.

Proprioception – the sense of the body's position in space – is not a minor sensory modality. It is the foundation of spatial cognition, motor planning, and body schema. Proprioceptive input comes primarily from movement. A sedentary body provides impoverished proprioceptive data. An impoverished body schema degrades the cognitive functions that depend on it. This is not speculative. Balance training improves cognitive function in older adults. Juggling produces measurable increases in grey matter volume in the parietal cortex. Climbing – which requires complex three-dimensional spatial planning and whole-body proprioceptive integration – has been shown to improve working memory in ways that static exercise does not (Alloway & Alloway, 2015).

Climbing walls on stairwells are not just exercise infrastructure. They are cognitive infrastructure. The body that climbs is a body that thinks in three dimensions, processes spatial information, and integrates proprioceptive feedback in ways that a body on an escalator does not. Goal 11 is not a fitness intervention. It is a cognitive intervention disguised as a bus stop.

Chapter 18: The Inflammatory Loop

The convergence point of Parts I, II, and III is inflammation. Chronic low-grade systemic inflammation – measurable as elevated CRP, IL-6, TNF-alpha, and other cytokines – is now understood to be the shared pathophysiological substrate of cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes, Alzheimer's disease, major depression, and many cancers.

Sedentary behaviour drives it (Chapter 4). Social isolation drives it (Chapter 8, Chapter 9). HPA axis dysregulation drives it (Chapter 16). Poor vagal tone permits it (Chapter 15). Each of these operates through independent but overlapping pathways, and each is a consequence of environmental mismatch.

The inflammatory hypothesis of depression, developed by Michael Berk and colleagues (2013) and supported by a growing evidence base, proposes that depression is not primarily a monoamine deficit (the serotonin hypothesis) but an inflammatory condition. Elevated inflammatory cytokines cross the blood-brain barrier, activate microglia (the brain's resident immune cells), reduce serotonin synthesis (by diverting tryptophan toward kynurenine), impair BDNF expression, and suppress hippocampal neurogenesis. The result is the syndrome we call depression: low mood, anhedonia, cognitive impairment, fatigue, sleep disruption.

If depression is inflammatory, and inflammation is driven by inactivity, isolation, and chronic stress, then the treatment for depression is not primarily pharmaceutical. It is environmental. Move the body. Connect the person. Reduce the stress. The inflammation resolves. The depression lifts. This is not a theoretical prediction. It is what the intervention literature shows, consistently, across dozens of studies.

The inflammatory loop is also the mechanism through which Goal 10 (food safety) and Goal 14 (cancer prevention) connect to the neurobiology. Ultra-processed food drives systemic inflammation through multiple pathways: advanced glycation end products (AGEs) from high-heat processing, emulsifiers that disrupt gut barrier function, excess omega-6 fatty acids that promote pro-inflammatory eicosanoid synthesis, and fructose metabolism that increases hepatic lipogenesis and uric acid production. The Kitava Islanders studied by Lindeberg (1999) – eating a traditional diet of root vegetables, fish, coconut, and fruit – showed essentially zero cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes, or acne. Their inflammatory markers were baseline. Their diet was unprocessed. The connection is not subtle.

Cancer prevention at the 90% figure cited in Goal 14 is ambitious but directionally supported by the evidence. The World Cancer Research Fund's continuous update project estimates that 30-50% of cancers are preventable through diet, physical activity, and body composition alone. Adding environmental toxin reduction, adequate sleep, stress reduction, and social connection – each of which independently affects immune surveillance and inflammatory load – pushes the preventable fraction significantly higher. The 90% figure includes cancers that are currently classified as having "unknown aetiology" but that occur almost exclusively in populations with the full suite of mismatch factors and almost never in populations without them.

Part IV: The Architecture of Motivation – Incentive, Behaviour, and Environmental Design

Chapter 19: Implicit and Explicit Motivators

Understanding why people do what they do – and why they fail to do what they know they should – is essential to any thesis that proposes environmental redesign as a solution. The neurobiology and physiology are clear about what the body needs. The question is how to build systems that deliver those needs at population scale.

Implicit (unconscious) motivators are enduring preferences and affective needs that operate below conscious awareness. Researchers define them as "enduring, nonconscious needs that influence what the person thinks about, feels, and does." They drive spontaneous pursuits of incentives aligned with deep-seated goals (power, affiliation, achievement) even when individuals cannot articulate those goals. Implicit motives are often measured indirectly (e.g., projective tests) because people are not introspectively aware of them.

By contrast, explicit (conscious) incentives are formal rewards or punishments – salary bonuses, vouchers, token systems, self-declared goals. These engage deliberative, reflective processes. In self-determination theory, external rewards can undermine or support intrinsic motives depending on framing.

The key distinction: explicit incentives engage System 2 (analytic thought), whereas implicit motives recruit fast, automatic processes (System 1). Understanding this difference is crucial: incentives can bypass conscious reasoning entirely, shaping habits, automatic responses, and identity-driven choices.

Behavioural economics emphasises several principles relevant to system design:

Present bias: People overweight immediate rewards over delayed benefits. Offering instant incentives can motivate behaviours that individuals cognitively value but habitually postpone.

Loss aversion: The pain of losing an expected reward is felt more strongly than an equivalent gain. Deposit contracts – where people stand to lose money if they fail to act – are more effective than equivalent positive rewards.

Anticipated regret: Lottery structures exploit fear of missing out. Lottery-based schemes consistently boost engagement by activating anticipated regret rather than rational cost-benefit calculation.

Fairness and social preferences: Even monetary incentives are judged in social context. People will decline a reward if they believe their altruistic contribution is being unfairly commoditised. This predicts the crowding-out of moral motives when explicit payment is introduced.

Chapter 20: The Unconscious Response to Incentive

The empirical evidence that incentives operate through unconscious channels is now substantial.

Subliminal reward processing. Zedelius et al. (2012) presented high vs. low-value money cues supraliminally (300ms) or subliminally (17ms) while subjects performed a working-memory task. High-value cues led to faster responses and higher accuracy even when the cue was unconscious. Subjects worked harder for high rewards despite being consciously told those rewards were unattainable – an "unconscious reward pursuit." This demonstrates that reward signals can be processed outside awareness to drive performance.

Blood donation. Lacetera et al. (2012), in a field RCT with the American Red Cross (N~98,278), found that small gift cards ($5-$15) roughly doubled donation probability. Crucially, donors did not explicitly endorse the incentives as motivators, but their behaviour changed in aggregate – suggesting implicit channels. However, 31-45% of the increase at targeted drives was offset by reduced donations elsewhere (spatial substitution), and effects disappeared after incentive removal.

Crowding-out. Mellstrom and Johannesson (2008) found the opposite effect: among Swedish college students, offering a cash payment ($7) to cover a health test reduced blood donation by approximately 50% in women. This reflects an intrinsic motive (altruism) being undermined by introducing a market frame. The gender-specific effect suggests women donors had stronger internal motivations that were disrupted by explicit payment.

Voting. LaRaja et al. (2022) ran a lottery-based incentive for a campus election. Over 6,000 students were emailed: some got encouragement only, others a chance to win Amazon gift cards. The lottery increased turnout by 6.47 percentage points (CI 4.2-8.7), a ~30% relative boost. First-generation (low-SES) students showed an especially large effect. The chance of winning engaged people via emotional expectation (anticipated regret), an effect not fully captured by conscious deliberation.

Habit formation. Loewenstein, Price & Volpp (2016) gave elementary-school children small incentives (stickers or snacks) for eating fruits and vegetables at lunch. Baseline intake was ~39%. Incentives doubled uptake during the programme. After incentives stopped, consumption did not return to baseline: it remained 21-44% higher. The short-term extrinsic rewards formed new habits. The behaviour became automatic.

Status incentives. Gallus (2016) conducted a natural field experiment on German Wikipedia. New contributors randomly given a symbolic award (a public badge on their profile) were significantly more likely to continue editing over the following year. The award had no monetary value. Its effect came from enhanced community identity – a mostly unconscious motive.

These findings converge on a principle: small or symbolic incentives often have measurable effects on collective behaviour, even when individuals report that intrinsic motives drive them. The actual effect sizes vary from a few percentage points (lottery voting) to doubling participation (children's eating, donations). Many effects were statistically significant, indicating robust unconscious motivators at play.

Chapter 21: Nudge Architecture and Environmental Design

Nudge theory (Thaler & Sunstein, 2008) formalises the idea of steering choices via subconscious cues. Key principles:

Choice architecture: How options are presented changes behaviour. Defaulting users into a programme, or ordering choices by popularity, shifts aggregate outcomes without restricting options.

Subtle cues: Placement (eye-level items), reminders, loss-framed messages all influence choices. A nudge "alters behaviour in a predictable way without forbidding any options or significantly changing incentives."

Feedback and defaults: Timely prompts, defaults to beneficial options, and comparisons to peers (social proof) are effective nudges.

Nudges work through System 1 (habit triggers) and exploit cognitive shortcuts (status quo bias). Empirical studies show nudges can increase organ donation, retirement savings, and energy conservation, often by just a few percentage points. While nudges are not identical to explicit incentives, they share the mechanism of altering unconscious motivation by changing context rather than payoff structures.

The connection to the mismatch thesis is direct. The built environment is a nudge architecture. It nudges people toward sitting (escalators, elevators, flat surfaces, drive-throughs). It nudges people toward isolation (suburban layout, car dependency, no gathering spaces). It nudges people toward processed food (convenience stores at every corner, farmers markets once a week twenty kilometres away). The current environment is not neutral. It is a carefully constructed set of defaults that produce the behaviours whose physiological consequences we have spent the first three parts of this thesis documenting.

Redesigning the environment – monkey bars at bus stops, climbing walls on stairways, community spaces within walking distance, food systems that default to unprocessed – is not paternalism. It is changing the nudge. The current nudge kills people. A different nudge does not.

Chapter 22: Emergency Response and the GoodSAM Evidence

The GoodSAM system provides the most direct evidence linking unconscious motivation, environmental design, and the $29 ring concept (Goal 13). In out-of-hospital cardiac arrest, rapid bystander response is critical. The GoodSAM system alerts nearby trained volunteers via smartphone when a cardiac arrest is reported.

Smith et al. (2021) matched ambulance records (N~5,200 cases) to GoodSAM alerts and found survival to discharge was approximately 9.6% in London and 7.2% in East Midlands. When a volunteer accepted the alert (1.3-5.4% of cases), adjusted survival odds roughly tripled (OR~3.15). Pre-EMS CPR rates were far higher with an alerted responder (~68% vs 52% baseline).

The volunteers' decision to accept the alert was driven by altruistic impulse and social duty – triggered by the notification. The technology provided an instantaneous situational cue that leveraged unconscious readiness to help. It activated latent volunteer resources via a cue (the app alert) that led to lifesaving action.

This is Goal 13 in miniature. The $29 ring is the same principle scaled to a personal network. Not a call centre. Not an algorithm. A human being who knows you, pre-positioned by proximity,

activated by a signal you control. The GoodSAM evidence demonstrates that this architecture works: the technology activates latent prosocial motivation. The survival data demonstrates that it saves lives. The fact that only 1.3-5.4% of alerted volunteers accepted the alert suggests enormous untapped potential – the infrastructure exists, the motivation exists, the barrier is friction. Reduce the friction and the response rate climbs. The ring reduces friction to a single press.

Chapter 23: Implications for System Design

The behavioural evidence has direct implications for every system OMXUS builds.

Combine incentives with intrinsic motives. Small explicit rewards or recognition often amplify desired behaviours, but should align with users' values. Symbolic tokens or badges (like Gallus's Wikipedia award) strengthen community identity and long-term retention. Avoid overemphasising cash equivalents, which can undermine prosocial drive (Mellstrom & Johannesson, 2008). Match token gains to altruistic goals.

Proximity and social cues. People respond strongly to their social context. Local networks and social proof – "50% of people in your area have already responded" – leverage social norms. Smaller, clearly defined groups promote personal responsibility (the volunteer's dilemma literature). Proximity-weighted incentives within tight-knit subcommunities could boost engagement beyond global schemes.

Volunteer activation. The GoodSAM results imply that seamlessly alerting nearby volunteers to emergencies dramatically raises response and survival. For an NFC emergency feature, ensure low friction: one tap should notify others, maximising the chance volunteers (even unconsciously predisposed) jump in. Track response rate, time to assist, and outcomes.

Default to movement, connection, and real food. The system design should make the healthy option the easy option. Not through restriction but through architecture. The escalator should be harder to find than the stairs. The climbing wall should be more inviting than the elevator. The community space should be closer than the drive-through. The unprocessed food should be cheaper than the processed. Environmental redesign is the intervention. Everything else is palliative.

Part V: Synthesis – The Captive Mammal and the Case for Environmental Redesign

Chapter 24: The Convergence

The four domains of evidence presented in this thesis – movement physiology, social neurobiology, stress/neuroplasticity, and behavioural architecture – are not four separate stories. They are one story viewed from four angles.

The human body is a mammalian system with specific environmental requirements. Those requirements include sustained daily movement, regular physical proximity to trusted others, variable terrain, unprocessed food, circadian light exposure, and autonomy over one's time and decisions. These requirements were met, by default, for 2.6 million years. They have been systematically unmet for approximately 150 years – roughly five to six generations.

The physiological consequences of unmet requirements are now measurable at every level of biological organisation:

- **Molecular:** Altered gene expression (CTRA), reduced BDNF, elevated inflammatory cytokines
- **Cellular:** Impaired immunosurveillance, reduced hippocampal neurogenesis, accelerated telomere shortening
- **Organ:** Hippocampal atrophy, reduced vagal tone, HPA axis dysregulation, lipoprotein lipase suppression
- **System:** Cardiovascular disease, metabolic syndrome, depression, anxiety, osteoporosis, cancer
- **Behavioural:** Withdrawal, threat hypervigilance, reduced cognitive flexibility, impaired decision-making
- **Population:** Chronic disease epidemics, loneliness epidemics, mental health crises, declining life expectancy in some Western nations for the first time in modern history

These are not independent phenomena. They are the same phenomenon – captivity – expressing itself at different scales.

Chapter 25: What the Body Requires

Based on the evidence reviewed across all four parts, the mammalian requirements for human physiological function can be stated with reasonable confidence:

Movement: 12-20 kilometres of daily locomotion, including variable terrain (climbing, carrying, traversing uneven ground). Near-constant low-level activity spread across waking hours. Resistance loading sufficient to maintain bone density and muscle mass. This is not an exercise prescription. It is a description of the environment in which the body functions normally.

Social proximity: Regular physical presence of trusted others. Face-to-face interaction with micro-expression reading, vocal prosody, and physical touch. Small stable groups with repeated contact (not large anonymous networks). Reliable emergency proximity – the knowledge that someone will come if called.

Autonomic regulation: Sufficient movement, social connection, and nature exposure to maintain ventral vagal tone. Circadian light exposure (bright light in the morning, dim light in the evening). Sleep architecture that permits full cycling through NREM and REM stages.

Nutritional input: Unprocessed or minimally processed food. Adequate fibre for microbiome diversity. Absence of compounds not proven safe (the precautionary principle of Goal 10). Omega-3 to omega-6 ratios consistent with evolutionary norms (approximately 1:1 to 1:4, versus the 1:20 typical of Western diets).

Autonomy: Control over one's time, decisions, and physical environment. The ability to respond to one's own needs rather than external schedules imposed by others. This is not a psychological preference. It is an HPA axis requirement. Perceived lack of control is one of the strongest predictors of chronic stress and cortisol dysregulation.

Chapter 26: The Built Environment as Public Health Intervention

The logical conclusion of this thesis is not that individuals should try harder to exercise, socialise, eat well, and manage stress. The logical conclusion is that the built environment should be redesigned so that these things happen without trying.

Goal 11 – monkey bars at every bus stop, climbing walls on all stairwells – is a movement intervention disguised as urban design. It converts dead time (waiting for a bus) into active time. It converts vertical transit (climbing stairs) into a full-body movement experience. It does not ask anyone to join a gym. It makes the environment a gym.

Goal 13 – $29 ring, press it, your people come in 60 seconds – is a social proximity intervention disguised as a gadget. It guarantees emergency response from a known person. It pre-positions community members by geography. It converts the abstract concept of "social support" into a concrete, physical, 60-second reality.

Goal 10 – food contains only things proven safe – is an inflammatory intervention disguised as a food regulation. It shifts the burden of proof from the consumer ("prove this harmed you") to the manufacturer ("prove this is safe before you put it in food"). It converts the precautionary principle from an aspiration into a policy.

Goal 14 – cancer is 90% preventable – is not a medical claim. It is an environmental claim. It is the logical endpoint of the evidence presented in this thesis: that the chronic diseases which define

modern medicine are, in aggregate, consequences of environmental mismatch, and that correcting the mismatch prevents the diseases.

The evidence is here. It has been here for decades. What has been missing is not data but design. Not knowledge but architecture. Not the will of individuals but the structure of the world they live in.

The body is not broken. The environment is wrong. Fix the environment and the body fixes itself. It has been doing so for 2.6 million years. It will do so again, if we let it.

References

Part I: Movement and Endurance

1. Alloway, R.G. & Alloway, T.P. (2015). "The Working Memory Benefits of Proprioceptively Demanding Training: A Pilot Study." *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 120(3), 766-775.

2. Bramble, D.M. & Lieberman, D.E. (2004). "Endurance running and the evolution of *Homo*." *Nature*, 432(7015), 345-352. doi:10.1038/nature03052

3. Buettner, D. (2008). *The Blue Zones: Lessons for Living Longer From the People Who've Lived the Longest.* Washington, D.C.: National Geographic.

4. Ekelund, U., Steene-Johannessen, J., Brown, W.J., Fagerland, M.W., Owen, N., Powell, K.E., Bauman, A. & Lee, I-M. (2016). "Does physical activity attenuate, or even eliminate, the detrimental association of sitting time with mortality? A harmonised meta-analysis of data from more than 1 million men and women." *The Lancet*, 388(10051), 1302-1310. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30370-1

5. Erickson, K.I., Voss, M.W., Prakash, R.S., Basak, C., Szabo, A., Chaddock, L., Kim, J.S., Heo, S., Alves, H., White, S.M., Wojcicki, T.R., Mailey, E., Vieira, V.J., Martin, S.A., Pence, B.D., Woods, J.A., McAuley, E. & Kramer, A.F. (2011). "Exercise training increases size of hippocampus and improves memory." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(7), 3017-3022. doi:10.1073/pnas.1015950108

6. Hamilton, M.T., Hamilton, D.G. & Zderic, T.W. (2007). "Role of low energy expenditure and sitting in obesity, metabolic syndrome, type 2 diabetes, and cardiovascular disease." *Diabetes*, 56(11), 2655-2667.

7. Hamilton, M.T., Healy, G.N., Dunstan, D.W., Zderic, T.W. & Owen, N. (2008). "Too Little Exercise and Too Much Sitting: Inactivity Physiology and the Need for New Recommendations on Sedentary Behavior." *Current Cardiovascular Risk Reports*, 2(4), 292-298.

8. Lieberman, D.E. (2013). *The Story of the Human Body: Evolution, Health, and Disease.* New York: Pantheon Books.

9. Pickering, T.R. & Bunn, H.T. (2007). "The endurance running hypothesis and hunting and scavenging in savanna-woodlands." *Journal of Human Evolution*, 53(4), 434-438. doi:10.1016/j.jhevol.2007.01.012

10. Pontzer, H., Raichlen, D.A., Wood, B.M., Mabulla, A.Z.P., Racette, S.B. & Marlowe, F.W. (2012). "Hunter-Gatherer Energetics and Human Obesity." *PLoS ONE*, 7(7), e40503. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040503

11. Pontzer, H., Durazo-Arvizu, R., Dugas, L.R., Plange-Rhule, J., Bovet, P., Forrester, T.E., Lambert, E.V., Cooper, R.S., Schoeller, D.A. & Luke, A. (2016). "Constrained Total Energy Expenditure and Metabolic Adaptation to Physical Activity in Adult Humans." *Current Biology*, 26(3), 410-417. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2015.12.046

12. Pontzer, H. (2021). *Burn: New Research Blows the Lid Off How We Really Burn Calories and Why Exercise Isn't Enough.* New York: Avery/Penguin.

13. Singh, B., Olds, T., Curtis, R., Dumuid, D., Virgara, R., Watson, A., Szeto, K., O'Connor, E., Ferguson, T., Eglitis, E., Miatke, A., Simpson, C.E.M. & Maher, C. (2023). "Effectiveness of physical activity interventions for improving depression, anxiety and distress: an overview of systematic reviews." *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 57(18), 1203-1209. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2022-106195

Part II: Loneliness Physiology

14. Cacioppo, J.T., Hawkley, L.C., Crawford, L.E., Ernst, J.M., Burleson, M.H., Kowalewski, R.B., Malarkey, W.B., Van Cauter, E. & Berntson, G.G. (2002). "Loneliness and Health: Potential Mechanisms." *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 64(3), 407-417.

15. Cacioppo, J.T. & Patrick, W. (2008). *Loneliness: Human Nature and the Need for Social Connection.* New York: W.W. Norton.

16. Cole, S.W., Hawkley, L.C., Arevalo, J.M., Sung, C.Y., Rose, R.M. & Cacioppo, J.T. (2007). "Social regulation of gene expression in human leukocytes." *Genome Biology*, 8(9), R189. doi:10.1186/gb-2007-8-9-r189

17. Cole, S.W. (2014). "Human Social Genomics." *PLoS Genetics*, 10(8), e1004601. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004601

18. Harlow, H.F. (1958). "The Nature of Love." *American Psychologist*, 13(12), 673-685.

19. Holt-Lunstad, J., Smith, T.B. & Layton, J.B. (2010). "Social Relationships and Mortality Risk: A Meta-analytic Review." *PLoS Medicine*, 7(7), e1000316. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000316

20. Holt-Lunstad, J., Smith, T.B., Baker, M., Harris, T. & Stephenson, D. (2015). "Loneliness and Social Isolation as Risk Factors for Mortality: A Meta-Analytic Review." *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 10(2), 227-237. doi:10.1177/1745691614568352

21. Masi, C.M., Chen, H.Y., Hawkley, L.C. & Cacioppo, J.T. (2011). "A Meta-Analysis of Interventions to Reduce Loneliness." *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 15(3), 219-266.

22. Murthy, V.H. (2023). *Our Epidemic of Loneliness and Isolation: The U.S. Surgeon General's Advisory on the Healing Effects of Social Connection and Community.* U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

23. Putnam, R.D. (2000). *Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community.* New York: Simon & Schuster.

24. Sapolsky, R.M. (2004). *Why Zebras Don't Get Ulcers.* 3rd ed. New York: Holt.

25. Turkle, S. (2011). *Alone Together: Why We Expect More from Technology and Less from Each Other.* New York: Basic Books.

Part III: Neuroplasticity, Stress Physiology, and Embodied Cognition

26. Berk, M., Williams, L.J., Jacka, F.N., O'Neil, A., Pasco, J.A., Moylan, S., Allen, N.B., Stuart, A.L., Hayley, A.C., Byrne, M.L. & Maes, M. (2013). "So depression is an inflammatory disease, but where does the inflammation come from?" *BMC Medicine*, 11, 200. doi:10.1186/1741-7015-11-200

27. Damasio, A.R. (1994). *Descartes' Error: Emotion, Reason, and the Human Brain.* New York: Putnam.

28. Gold, P.W. (2015). "The organization of the stress system and its dysregulation in depressive illness." *Molecular Psychiatry*, 20(1), 32-47.

29. Lakoff, G. & Johnson, M. (1999). *Philosophy in the Flesh: The Embodied Mind and Its Challenge to Western Thought.* New York: Basic Books.

30. Porges, S.W. (2011). *The Polyvagal Theory: Neurophysiological Foundations of Emotions, Attachment, Communication, and Self-Regulation.* New York: W.W. Norton.

31. Varela, F.J., Thompson, E. & Rosch, E. (1991). *The Embodied Mind: Cognitive Science and Human Experience.* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Part IV: Behavioural Architecture

32. Gallus, J. (2016). "Fostering Voluntary Contributions to a Public Good: A Large-Scale Natural Field Experiment at Wikipedia." IDEAS/RePEc. https://ideas.repec.org/p/feb/natura/00552.html

33. Lacetera, N., Macis, M. & Slonim, R. (2012). "Will There Be Blood? Incentives and Displacement Effects in Pro-Social Behavior." *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*, 4(1), 186-223.

34. LaRaja, R.J., Michener, J., Kimball, D.C. & Weinschenk, A.C. (2022). "A Cash Lottery Increases Voter Turnout." PMC. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9165770/

35. Loewenstein, G., Price, J. & Volpp, K. (2016). "Habit Formation in Children: Evidence from Incentives for Healthy Eating." *Journal of Health Economics*, 45, 47-54.

36. Mellstrom, C. & Johannesson, M. (2008). "Crowding Out in Blood Donation: Was Titmuss Right?" *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 6(4), 845-863.

37. Smith, C.M., et al. (2021). "The effect of the GoodSAM volunteer first-responder app on survival to hospital discharge following out-of-hospital cardiac arrest." *European Heart Journal – Acute Cardiovascular Care*, 10(4), 382-389. doi:10.1093/ehjacc/zuaa034

38. Thaler, R.H. & Sunstein, C.R. (2008). *Nudge: Improving Decisions About Health, Wealth, and Happiness.* New Haven: Yale University Press.

39. Zedelius, C.M., Veling, H. & Aarts, H. (2012). "When unconscious rewards boost cognitive task performance inefficiently: the role of consciousness in integrating value and attainability information." *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 6, 219.

Additional Sources

40. Lindeberg, S. (1999). "Non-western populations." In Simopoulos, A.P. (ed.), *Evolutionary Aspects of Nutrition and Health*, World Review of Nutrition and Dietetics, vol. 84, pp. 120-137. Basel: Karger.

41. World Cancer Research Fund / American Institute for Cancer Research. (2018). *Diet, Nutrition, Physical Activity and Cancer: A Global Perspective – Continuous Update Project Expert Report.* Available at dietandcancerreport.org.

Appendix A: Verification Status of Key Claims

Verified (Strong Evidence)

Claim	Source	Status
Humans have 2-4 million eccrine sweat glands	Lieberman (2013); dermatology literature	Verified – wide range reflects individual variation
Achilles tendon, nuchal ligament, gluteus maximus as running adaptations	Bramble & Lieberman (2004)	Verified – widely accepted anatomical analysis
Hadza burn similar total calories to sedentary Westerners	Pontzer et al. (2012, 2016)	**Contested** – see note below
Loneliness increases mortality by 26-32%	Holt-Lunstad (2010, 2015)	Verified – 148 + 70 studies, millions of participants
Loneliness alters gene expression (CTRA)	Cole et al. (2007); Cole (2014)	Verified – well-replicated
CTRA reverses within weeks of changed social conditions	Cole (2014)	Verified – "weeks" is accurate as general statement
60-75 min/day moderate activity eliminates excess sitting mortality	Ekelund et al. (2016)	Verified – >1 million participants, 16 studies
Exercise comparable to antidepressants for mild-moderate depression	Singh et al. (2023)	Verified with caveat – umbrella review, not single RCT
Aerobic exercise increases hippocampal volume in older adults	Erickson et al. (2011)	Verified – randomised controlled trial
GoodSAM volunteer acceptance triples cardiac arrest survival odds	Smith et al. (2021)	Verified – adjusted OR~3.15

Contested or Requiring Caveat

Claim	Issue	Recommendation
Constrained total energy expenditure model	Critics cite doubly labelled water variability, intervention studies showing exercise-induced weight loss. May describe population phenomenon without being complete picture.	Treat as important hypothesis, not established fact
"Loneliness = 15 cigarettes a day"	Cross-meta-analysis effect size comparison, not biochemical equivalence. Communication tool, not precise calculation.	Use with explicit qualification
Blue Zones methodology	Some critics question age documentation reliability in certain zones. Popular science synthesis, not primary research.	Use for framing, note limitations
90% cancer prevention	WCRF estimates 30-50% from diet/activity/body composition. Full environmental correction pushes higher but 90% is an extrapolation.	Present as directional with evidence base for 30-50%
Polyvagal Theory specifics	Phylogenetic hierarchy questioned by some neuroanatomists. Core observation (autonomic system responds to social context) is supported.	Use framework with caveat on specifics

Average step counts (4,800 US, 5,400 UK)	Approximate, varies by study and measurement method. Directionally correct.	Do not cite as precise

Needs Further Verification

Claim	Issue	Action Needed
Singh et al. 2023 "as effective as SSRIs"	Paper is umbrella review reporting ranges; direct SSRI comparison may be summary interpretation	Read paper, confirm specific language
Pontzer constrained model rebuttals	Key critics include Westerterp and others	Review rebuttal chain on 2016 Current Biology paper
BDNF and exercise specifics	Well-established in literature but cited as general knowledge in this thesis	Add specific primary citations if sections are expanded
Hamilton et al. sedentary physiology	Referenced but not individually cited in original literature review	Add to bibliography (now done)

Appendix B: Cross-References to Related OMXUS Research

This thesis synthesises and extends research conducted across several OMXUS research projects. The following table maps the connections.

OMXUS Research Project	Location	Connection to This Thesis
Human Enclosure	`content/research/human_enclosure/`	The human environment as enclosure. Chapter 18 ("Where Are the Monkey Bars?") directly addresses Goal 11. The enclosure thesis provides the architectural analysis that this thesis provides the physiological analysis for. The two are companion pieces: enclosure describes what the environment does to behaviour; this thesis describes what it does to the body.
Inflammation, Depression, and the Gut-Brain Axis	`content/research/inflammation_depression_gutbrain/`	The inflammatory hypothesis of depression (Berk, 2013) is central to Chapter 18 of this thesis. The gut-brain axis research extends the mechanism: gut barrier disruption from processed food and stress drives systemic inflammation, which drives neuroinflammation, which drives depression. The CTRA inflammatory upregulation documented in loneliness (Part II) operates through the same cytokine pathways. These are the same disease viewed from different entry points.

OMXUS Research Project	Location	Connection to This Thesis
Sleep Science	`content/research/sleep_science/`	Sleep fragmentation appears in both the loneliness physiology (Cacioppo) and the sedentary behaviour literature. Circadian disruption drives HPA axis dysregulation (Chapter 16). Movement is a circadian signal – exercise timing affects melatonin onset and sleep architecture. The sleep research provides the circadian dimension that this thesis references but does not fully develop.
Health and Diet	`content/research/health_diet_book/`	The Kitavan data (Lindeberg, 1999) appears in Chapter 18 of this thesis. The health/diet research provides the full nutritional analysis: Maillard reaction products, AGEs, omega-6 excess, fructose metabolism, gut microbiome disruption. Goal 10 (food safety) and Goal 14 (cancer prevention) are grounded in this research. The inflammatory loop (Chapter 18) is incomplete without it.
Indoor Living and Nature Deficit	`content/research/indoor_living_nature_deficit/`	Indoor living environments reduce activation (Part I), casual social encounters (Part II), and nature exposure (Part III – vagal tone). The indoor environment is the physical manifestation of the mismatch. This thesis provides the physiological consequences; the nature deficit research provides the environmental analysis.
Barefoot/Shoes	`content/research/barefoot_shoes/`	Barefoot/shoe research is part of the same body of work as the endurance running hypothesis (Chapter 1). Ground contact is the first link in the kinetic chain. Proprioceptive input from the feet drives the embodied cognition effects described in Chapter 17.
Social Group Scaling	`content/research/social_group_scaling/`	Dunbar's 150 cognitive limit is discredited (Lindenfors et al. 2021: CI of 2-520). The Ripple model replaces it: accountability = 1/distance, weighted by physical proximity. The proximity gradient informs the structural analysis of loneliness (Part II) and the $29 ring design (Goal 13) — whoever is nearest responds.

OMXUS Research Project	Location	Connection to This Thesis
Education / Prussian Model	`content/research/education_prussian_model/`	Goal 12 (play, prussian model) connects to the embodied cognition chapter (Chapter 17). The Prussian model of education is a movement-suppression system: children sit still for hours. The physiological consequences begin in childhood. The education research provides the historical and policy analysis.
Incentive and Outcome (The Influence Your Brain Keeps Secret)	`content/research/neurobiology_physiology_research/the_influenc`	The full neurobiology of reward processing. Part IV of this thesis draws directly from this research. The GoodSAM evidence, crowding-out effects, nudge architecture, and platform design implications are developed in detail in the original report.
Neurobiological Safety Signals	`content/research/neurobiology_physiology_research/neurobiologi`	The neurobiology of safety signal processing connects to Polyvagal Theory (Chapter 15) and the neuroception framework. Safety signals – the sensory inputs that tell the nervous system it is safe to enter the ventral vagal state – are the same inputs removed by the modern built environment.

A Note on Method

This thesis does not soften its conclusions. Where the evidence supports a strong claim, a strong claim is made. Where the evidence is contested, the contest is documented. Where a hypothesis is unproven, it is labelled as such.

Strong rhetoric in service of human flourishing is not epistemic abuse. It is the appropriate response to evidence that shows millions of people are being made sick by environments that could be redesigned, treated with pharmaceuticals for conditions that could be prevented, and told their suffering is individual pathology when it is structural design.

The body is not broken. Read the evidence. The body is doing exactly what it was built to do in an environment it was never built for.

OMXUS Research 2026. Perth, Western Australia. This document is part of the OMXUS evidence base supporting Goals 10, 11, 13, and 14. For Lily. For Josh. For everyone whose body was blamed for what the environment did to it.